

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,402 05/20/2002		Sakae Shibus	awa	04730/003001	8989
22511	22511 7590 09/01/2004		1	EXA	MINER
OSHA & MAY L.L.P.				ASSOUAD, PATRICK J	
	NNEY STREET TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		·	2857	
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/030,402	SHIBUSAWA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patrick J Assouad	2857		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continu

	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date of the final rejection.
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
nave bee 37 CFR (b) abov	rensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action or (3) and (3) are forth in the period for reply
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. 🗌	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🔯	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26 and 33-39</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Patrick J Assouad Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2857

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) (10/030,402

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues are raised. For example, proposed amended claim 1, first step, is written in the alternative; that is, claim 1 recites "acquiring measurement data using a soil sensor based on information related to at least one parameter selected from a soil type and a water content of a soil." If we properly interpret this to be either soil type or water content, and not both, then the next step, "determining a model based on the soil type and the water content" makes no sense whatsoever, as only soil type or water content, not both, have been acquired.

PEMON